

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

| | | |
|--------------------------------|---|----------------|
| SO HOANG NGUYEN, | § | |
| Plaintiff, | § | |
| | § | |
| v. | § | 3:15-CV-2893-D |
| | § | |
| US CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION | § | |
| SERVICES, | § | |
| Defendant. | § | |

ORDER


After making an independent review of the pleadings, files, and records in this case, and the findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the magistrate judge, the court concludes that the findings and conclusions are correct. It is therefore ordered that the findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the magistrate judge are adopted, and this action is dismissed without prejudice for want of prosecution. Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* is DENIED.

The court certifies that any appeal of this action would not be taken in good faith. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3); Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3). In support of this finding, the court adopts and incorporates by reference the magistrate judge's findings, conclusions, and recommendation. *See Baugh v. Taylor*, 117 F.3d 197, 202 n.21 (5th Cir. 1997). Based on the findings and recommendation, the court finds that any appeal of this action would present no legal point of arguable merit and would, therefore, be frivolous. *See Howard v. King*, 707 F.2d 215, 220 (5th Cir.

1983).

SO ORDERED.

November 30, 2015.


SIDNEY A. FITZWATER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE